

On Dominant Integrability

NARAYAN S. MURTHY

*Department of Computer Science, Pace University,
Pleasantville, New York 10570, U.S.A.*

CHARLES F. OSGOOD

Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20375, U.S.A.

AND

OVED SHISHA

*Department of Mathematics, University of Rhode Island,
Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, U.S.A.*

Communicated by Charles K. Chui

Received December 3, 1984

1

The property of dominant integrability was introduced by C. F. Osgood and O. Shisha [6]. One of the motivations in introducing this concept was the problem: under what conditions can quadrature formulas effective for Riemann integrable functions on $[0, 1]$ be used for the numerical evaluation of improper Riemann integrals $\int_{0+}^1 f(x) dx$? It turns out that, for a function f on $(0, 1]$, its dominant integrability is a necessary and sufficient condition for $\int_{0+}^1 f(x) dx$ to converge and to equal $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_n^*(f)$ for every sequence $(\Phi_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of quadrature formulas of a very general type. (For details see [6, 7].)

One of the definitions of dominant integrability is the following ([6], second line of Corollary 2, Definition 4, and Theorem 3): *Let f be a complex function on $(0, 1]$. Dominant integrability of f means Riemann integrability of f on each closed subinterval of $(0, 1]$ and the existence of a real, nonnegative function g , monotone nonincreasing on $(0, 1]$, with $\int_{0+}^1 g(x) dx < \infty$, satisfying throughout $(0, 1]$, $|f(x)| \leq g(x)$.*

Dominant integrability turns out to be a very simple instance of generalized Riemann integrability, an elementary property equivalent to Perron and restricted Denjoy integrability but more general than Lebesgue integrability. For details see [1, 3]. The simplicity and great power of the concept of generalized Riemann integrability should make it the standard concept of integrability.

2

Our main purpose here is to state and prove

THEOREM 1. *Let f be a complex function on $(0, 1]$ and let $0 < \delta < 1$. A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be dominantly integrable is that it be Riemann integrable on each $[a, 1]$, $0 < a < 1$, and that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w(f, \delta^j, \delta^{j-1}) \delta^j < \infty,$$

where $w(f, \delta^j, \delta^{j-1})$ is the oscillation of f on $[\delta^j, \delta^{j-1}]$, namely,

$$\sup\{|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| : \delta^j \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \delta^{j-1}\}.$$

Proof. Necessity: By the definition of dominant integrability in Section 1, f is Riemann integrable (and, hence, bounded) on each $[a, 1]$, $0 < a < 1$. For every $t \in (0, 1]$, let

$$\hat{f}(t) = \sup\{|f(x)| : t \leq x \leq 1\}.$$

For $j = 1, 2, \dots$, if $\delta^j \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \delta^{j-1}$, then

$$|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \leq |f(t_1)| + |f(t_2)| \leq 2\hat{f}(\delta^j)$$

and, hence,

$$w(f, \delta^j, \delta^{j-1}) \leq 2\hat{f}(\delta^j).$$

Thus, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n w(f, \delta^j, \delta^{j-1}) \delta^j &\leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{f}(\delta^j) \delta^j = 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} \hat{f}(\delta^{j-1}) \delta^{j-1} \\ &= 2(1-\delta)^{-1} \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} \hat{f}(\delta^{j-1})(\delta^{j-1} - \delta^j) \\ &\leq 2(1-\delta)^{-1} \int_{\delta^{n+1}}^{\delta} \hat{f}(x) dx \\ &\leq 2(1-\delta)^{-1} \int_{0+}^{\delta} \hat{f}(x) dx < \infty \end{aligned}$$

(see [6], Definition 1, Corollary 2 and the first sentence of its proof). Hence the desired conclusion.

Sufficiency: For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x \in (0, 1]$, let

$$g_n(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^n w(f, \delta^j, \delta^{j-1}) & \text{if } \delta^n < x \leq \delta^{n-1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For every $x \in (0, 1]$, say,

$$\delta^n < x \leq \delta^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1 \text{ an integer,} \tag{1}$$

set

$$g(x) = |f(1)| + g_n(x) = |f(1)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(x)$$

so that g is monotone nonincreasing on $(0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w(f, \delta^j, \delta^{j-1}) \delta^{j-1} &= (1 - \delta) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} w(f, \delta^j, \delta^{j-1}) \delta^{k-1} \\ &= (1 - \delta) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k w(f, \delta^j, \delta^{j-1}) \delta^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k w(f, \delta^j, \delta^{j-1}) \right) (\delta^{k-1} - \delta^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 g_k(x) dx = \int_{0+}^1 g(x) dx - |f(1)|. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in (0, 1]$, say, (1), then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(1)| &= |f(x) - f(\delta^{n-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(\delta^k) - f(\delta^{k-1})| \\ &\leq |f(x) - f(\delta^{n-1})| + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |f(\delta^k) - f(\delta^{k-1})| \\ &\leq w(f, \delta^n, \delta^{n-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} w(f, \delta^k, \delta^{k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n w(f, \delta^k, \delta^{k-1}) = g_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} = 0 \text{ if } n = 1 \right)$; so

$$|f(x)| \leq |f(1)| + g_n(x) = g(x).$$

Hence f is dominantly integrable.

3

The concept of dominant integrability has been extended in [4] to complex functions on $I = (0, 1] \times (0, 1]$. Using [4], one can imitate the proof of Theorem 1 and prove

THEOREM 2. *Let f be a complex function on I and let $0 < \delta < 1$. A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be dominantly integrable on I is that it be Riemann integrable on each $[a, 1] \times [b, 1]$, $0 < a < 1$, $0 < b < 1$, and that*

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} w(f, [\delta^j, \delta^{j-1}] \times [\delta^k, \delta^{k-1}]) \delta^{j+k} < \infty,$$

where the coefficient of δ^{j+k} is the oscillation of f on

$$R_{j,k} = [\delta^j, \delta^{j-1}] \times [\delta^k, \delta^{k-1}],$$

namely, $\sup\{|f(P_1) - f(P_2)| : P_1, P_2 \in R_{j,k}\}$.

REFERENCES

1. G. CROSS AND O. SHISHA, A new approach to integration, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **114** (1986), 289–294.
2. J. T. LEWIS, C. F. OSGOOD, AND O. SHISHA, Infinite Riemann sums, the simple integral, and the dominated integral, in “General Inequalities 1” (E. F. Beckenbach, Ed.), ISNM, Vol. 41, pp. 115–123, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1978.
3. J. T. LEWIS AND O. SHISHA, The generalized Riemann, simple, dominated and improper integrals, *J. Approx. Theory* **38** (1983), 192–199.
4. N. S. MURTHY, C. F. OSGOOD, AND O. SHISHA, The dominated integral of functions of two variables, in “Functional Analysis and Approximation” (P. L. Butzer, B. Sz.-Nagy, and E. Görlich, Eds.), ISNM, Vol. 60, pp. 433–442, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981.
5. C. F. OSGOOD, Obtaining a function of bounded coarse variation by a change of variable, *J. Approx. Theory* **44** (1985), 14–20.
6. C. F. OSGOOD AND O. SHISHA, The dominated integral, *J. Approx. Theory* **17** (1976), 150–165.
7. C. F. OSGOOD AND O. SHISHA, Numerical quadrature of improper integrals and the dominated integral, *J. Approx. Theory* **20** (1977), 139–152.